

# Multiple Credit Card Debt? Math Can help.

Kirthi Premadasa  
UW-Marathon County

# The basic recurrence equation

$$b_{n+1} = b_n + ib_n - p_n \dots (1)$$

$b_n$  = balance after  $n$  months

$i$  = monthly interest rate

$p_n$  = payment made

# Some special cases

We assume that minimum payment-2% of the balance and that monthly interest rate  $< 2\%$

- Only the minimum is paid every month:

$$b_{n+1} = b_n + ib_n - 0.02b_n$$

$$b_n = (0.98 + i)^n b_0$$

balance

- A Flat payment  $F$  is paid every month:

$$b_{n+1} = b_n + ib_n - F$$

$$b_n = (1 + i)^n b_0 - F \frac{((1 + i)^n - 1)}{i}$$

# Some special cases

- The minimum + Flat is paid every month

$$b_{n+1} = b_n + ib_n - (F + 0.02b_n)$$

$$b_n = m^n b_0 - F \frac{(1 - m^n)}{(1 - m)}$$

Here  $m=0.98+i$

# Suze Orman (Credit Card help)

- Figure out the largest possible amount you can afford to pay each month toward all your credit card balances together.
- 2) Add \$10 to each minimum payment that your credit card company is asking you to pay.
- 3) Add up all your minimum payments plus \$10 added for each card.
- 4) Hopefully the difference between the figure found in Step 1 is GREATER than the figure in found in Step 3. If so, apply the difference to the card with the HIGHEST interest rate.
- 5) Once that card is paid off, you continue the process (Steps 1 - 4) until ALL the cards are paid off.

# Dave Ramsey (Snowball method)

- Make a list of all your credit cards, ranked in order from the highest balance to the smallest balance.
- - 2) Beginning with the card with the smallest balance, pay as much as you can on that card while paying the minimums on the other cards.
- - 3) Once the card with the smallest balance is paid off, take the amount you were paying towards that card and apply to the card with the next lowest balance.
- - 4) Keep on until ALL the cards are paid off.

# Let's analyse with two cards

## Both methods will have two components

Card B  
Monthly  
interest= $i_b$   
Balance= $L_b$

Card C  
Monthly  
interest= $i_c$   
Balance= $L_c$

Assume that  $L_b < L_c$

And  $i_b > i_c$

Both DR and SO will start with Card B

# Part 1

## (Card B)

### SO's method

The recurrence equation is :  $\longrightarrow$   $b_{n+1} = b_n + ib_n - (T - 0.02c_n - 10) \dots (4)$

Minimum +\$10 is paid to C  $\longrightarrow$   $c_n = m_c^n L_c - F \frac{(1 - m_c^n)}{(1 - m_c)}$

$$b_n = x^n L_b + 0.02 \left( L_c - \frac{10}{0.02 - i_c} \right) \frac{(x^n - m_c^n)}{(x - m_c)} + \left( 10 - T - \frac{0.2}{0.02 - i_c} \right) \frac{(1 - x^n)}{(1 - x)}$$

Here,  $x = 1 + i_b$

# Part 1 (Card B) DR's method

Recurrence equation  $\longrightarrow \hat{b}_{n+1} = \hat{b}_n + i_b \hat{b}_n - (T - 0.02\hat{c}_n)$

C just gets the minimum payment  $\longrightarrow \hat{c}_n = (i_c + 0.98)^n L_c = m_c^n L_c$

We get the balance as  $\longrightarrow$

$$\hat{b}_n = x^n Lb + 0.02L_c \frac{(x^n - m_c^n)}{(x - m_c)} - T \frac{(1 - x^n)}{(1 - x)}$$

# Comparison between the two methods for part 1.

$$\hat{b}_n - b_n = \frac{(x^n - m_c^n)}{(x - m_c)} \left( \frac{0.02}{0.02 - i_c} \right) + \frac{(1 - x^n)}{(1 - x)} \left( 10 - \frac{0.2}{0.02 - i_c} \right) > 0$$

Since both sequences are strictly decreasing, this only means that  $b_n$

will have a smaller X-incept than  $\hat{b}_n$

This means that Suze Orman's method will pay off Part A faster than Dave Ramsay's method

# Part 2

## Card C (Both)

Since card B is paid off, both DR and SO can put the entire amount T on card C. We have then a flat payment situation for **both** in regards to card C.

$$c_n = (1 + i_c)^n (\text{balance of C when B is paid off}) - T \frac{((1 + i_c)^n - 1)}{i_c}$$

By setting  $c_n=0$ , and solving for n, we can find the pay off time  $N_c$  for this card

$$N_c = \frac{1}{\ln |1 + i_c|} \ln \left| \frac{T}{T - i_c c_b} \right|$$

## Part 2 (Comparison of pay-off times)

$$N_{c(SO)} = \frac{1}{\ln |1 + i_c|} \ln \left| \frac{T}{T - i_c c_b(SO)} \right|$$

$$N_{c(DR)} = \frac{1}{\ln |1 + i_c|} \ln \left| \frac{T}{T - i_c c_b(DR)} \right|$$

$$N_{c(DR)} - N_{c(SO)} = \frac{1}{\ln |1 + i_c|} \ln \left| \frac{T - i_c c_b(SO)}{T - i_c c_b(DR)} \right|$$

However

$$c_b(DR) > c_b(SO)$$

$$N_{c(DR)} - N_{c(SO)} > 0 \rightarrow N_{c(DR)} > N_{c(SO)}$$

$$N_{c(DR)} > N_{c(SO)}$$

For Part 2 also, Suze Orman  
has a better payback time

Overall, Suze Orman's method has a  
faster payback time than Dave  
Ramsay's

# Student activity

- You buy a \$800 HDTV using a credit that pays 12% APR. How long will take it to bring down the balance to \$ 500,
  - a. If you just pay the minimum payment (47 months).
  - b. If you pay a flat payment of 25 dollars (17 months)
  - c. If you pay a flat payment of \$15 on top of the minimum payment (14 months)

# Students Activity (contd)

- How long will take Steve to finish paying off his credit card if he uses the method in 2 ? (39 months).
- If Steve uses the method in 1, how long will it take Steve to reduce the balance of the card to  
(a)\$1 (666 months)  
(b)10 cents (895 months)
- If Steve uses the method in 1, will he be able to pay off his card ever ? (Explain both Mathematically and practically)